

PO3IOG: International Organizations in Global Politics

[View Online](#)

1.

Making the most of your online reading lists [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pu6TKAXic2o>

2.

Abbott KW, Snidal D. Why States Act through Formal International Organizations. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 1998;42(1):3-32.

3.

Jupille JH, Mattli W, Snidal D. Institutional choice and global commerce [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO978139855990>

4.

Mearsheimer J. The False Promise of International Institutions. *International security*. 19(3):5-49.

5.

Koremenos B, Lipson C, Snidal D. The rational design of international institutions. *International organization*. 2001 Sep 22;55(4):761-99.

6.

Thompson A. Coercion through IOs: The Security Council and the Logic of Information Transmission. *International Organization*. 2006;60(1):1-34.

7.

Voeten E. The Political Origins of the UN Security Council's Ability to Legitimize the Use of Force. *International Organization*. 2005;59(3):527-57.

8.

Ian Hurd. Legitimacy, Power, and the Symbolic Life of the UN Security Council. *Global Governance*. 2002;8(1):35-51.

9.

Claude IL. Collective Legitimization as a Political Function of the United Nations. *International Organization*. 1966;20(3):367-79.

10.

Thompson A. *Channels of power: the UN Security Council and U.S. statecraft in Iraq* [Internet]. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=3137979>

11.

Michael N. Barnett and Martha Finnemore. The Politics, Power, and Pathologies of International Organizations. *International Organization*. 1999;53(4):699-732.

12.

Johnston AI. Treating International Institutions as Social Environments. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2001;45(4):487-515.

13.

Finnemore M. National interests in international society [Internet]. Vol. Cornell studies in

political economy. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 1996. Available from:
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=4799675>

14.

Checkel JT. International Institutions and Socialization in Europe: Introduction and Framework. *International Organization*. 2005;59(4):801–26.

15.

Lipson M. Peacekeeping: Organized Hypocrisy? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2007;13(1):5–34.

16.

Hawkins D, Lake DA, Nielson DL, Tierney MJ. Delegation under anarchy: states, international organizations, and principal-agent theory. In: *Delegation and agency in international organizations* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 3–38. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491368>

17.

Haftel YZ, Thompson A. The Independence of International Organizations: Concept and Applications. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 2006;50(2):253–75.

18.

Gould ER. Delegating IMF conditionality: understanding variations in control and conformity. In: Hawkins DG, Lake DA, Nielson DL, Tierney MJ, editors. *Delegation and agency in international organizations* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 281–311. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491368>

19.

Vaubel R, Dreher A, Soylu U. Staff Growth in International Organizations: A Principal-Agent

Problem? An Empirical Analysis. *Public Choice*. 2007;133(3):275–95.

20.

Pollack MA. Delegation, Agency, and Agenda Setting in the European Community. *International Organization*. 1997;51(1):99–134.

21.

Gutner T, Thompson A. The politics of IO performance: A framework. *The Review of International Organizations*. 2010;5(3):227–48.

22.

Golub J. In the shadow of the vote? Decision making in the European Community. *International Organization*. 1999;53(4):733–64.

23.

Gutner T. Evaluating the IMF's Performance in the Global Financial Crisis [Internet]. 2015. Available from:
http://wp.peio.me/wp-content/uploads/PEIO9/102_80_1443647577194_Gutner30Sept2015.pdf

24.

Binder M. Paths to intervention: What explains the UN's selective response to humanitarian crises? *Journal of peace research*. 2015;52(6):712–26.

25.

Tallberg J, Sommerer T, Squatrito T, Lundgren M. The performance of international organizations: a policy output approach. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2016 Aug 8;23(7):1077–96.

26.

Buchanan A, Keohane RO. The Legitimacy of Global Governance Institutions. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 2006 Dec;20(4):405-37.

27.

Zaum D, editor. *Legitimizing international organizations* [Internet]. First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199672097.001.0001>

28.

Seabrooke L. Legitimacy gaps in the world economy: explaining the sources of the IMF's legitimacy crisis. *International politics* (Hague, Netherlands). 2007;44(2/3):250-68.

29.

Torres HR. Reforming the International Monetary Fund: Why its Legitimacy is at Stake. *Journal of International Economic Law*. 2007;10(3):443-60.

30.

Binder M, Heupel M. The Legitimacy of the UN Security Council: Evidence from Recent General Assembly Debates. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2015;59(2):238-50.

31.

Zürn M, Binder M, Ecker-Ehrhardt M. International authority and its politicization. *International Theory* [Internet]. 2015 Mar 15;4(1):69-106. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/S1752971912000012>

32.

Morse JC, Keohane RO. Contested multilateralism. *The Review of International Organizations*. 2014 Dec;9(4):385-412.

33.

Rixen T, Zangl B. The politicization of international economic institutions in US public debates. *The Review of International Organizations*. 2013;8(3):363-87.

34.

Hooghe L, Marks G. A Postfunctionalist Theory of European Integration: From Permissive Consensus to Constraining Dissensus. *British Journal of Political Science* [Internet]. 2009;39(1):1-23. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27568377>

35.

Ecker-Ehrhardt M. Self-legitimation in the face of politicization: Why international organizations centralized public communication. *The Review of International Organizations*. 2017;13(4):519-46.

36.

Tallberg J, Sommerer T, Squatrito T, Jönsson C. Explaining the Transnational Design of International Organizations. *International Organization*. 2014;68(4):741-74.

37.

Mayer P. Civil Society Participations in International Security Organizations: The Cases of NATO and OSCE. In: Steffek J, Kissling C, Nanz P, editors. Civil society participation in European and global governance: a cure for the democratic deficit? [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008. p. 116-39. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=370463>

38.

Scholte JA, editor. Building global democracy?: civil society and accountable global governance [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511921476>

39.

Charnovitz S. Nongovernmental Organizations and International Law. *The American Journal of International Law*. 2006;100(2):348–72.

40.

Pierson P. Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 2000 Jun;94(02):251–67.

41.

Pierson P. Politics in time: history, institutions, and social analysis [Internet]. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2004. Available from:
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=768545>

42.

Fioretos O. Historical Institutionalism in International Relations. *International Organization* [Internet]. 2011;65(2):367–99. Available from:
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/23016816?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

43.

Hanrieder T. The path-dependent design of international organizations: Federalism in the World Health Organization. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2015;21(1):215–39.