

PP3FWR: Free Will and Responsibility

[View Online](#)

1.

Online reading lists: a guide for students [Internet]. University of Reading Library; Available from: <http://libguides.reading.ac.uk/reading-lists/students>

2.

Pryor J. 'Reading a Philosophy Paper' [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/reading.html>

3.

Edited by Robert Kane. Introduction: The Contours of Contemporary Free Will Debates. In: The Oxford Handbook of Free Will [Internet]. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-1>

4.

Kane R. A contemporary introduction to free will [Internet]. Vol. Fundamentals of philosophy series. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=4963976>

5.

Kane R. The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://idpproxy.reading.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001>

6.

Edited by Robert Kane. Bernstein Fatalism. In: The Oxford Handbook of Free Will [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com.idpproxy.reading.ac.uk/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-3>

7.

Berofsky B. Ifs, Cans, and Free Will: The Issues. In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-8>

8.

Kapitan T. A Master Argument for Incompatibilism? In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-6>

9.

O'Connor T. Libertarian Views: Dualist and Agent-Causal Theories. In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-15>

10.

Strawson G. The Bounds of Freedom. In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-19>

11.

Ekstrom LW. Libertarianism and Frankfurt-style Cases. In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from:
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-13>

12.

Edited by Robert Kane. Widerker Responsibility and Frankfurt-type Examples. In: The Oxford Handbook of Free Will [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com.idpproxy.reading.ac.uk/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-14>

13.

Edited by Robert Kane. Haji Compatibilist Views of Freedom and Responsibility. In: The Oxford Handbook of Free Will [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com.idpproxy.reading.ac.uk/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195178548.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780195178548-e-9>

14.

Watson G. Free will. 2nd ed. Vol. Oxford readings in philosophy. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

15.

Fischer JM. Four views on free will [Internet]. Vol. Great debates in philosophy. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub; 2007. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=306543>

16.

O'Connor T. Free Will. Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/freewill/>

17.

Watson G. 'Introduction'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

18.

Kane R. 'Introduction: The Contours of Contemporary Free Will Debates'. In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available

from:

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195399691.001.0001>

19.

Peter van Inwagen. Free Will Remains a Mystery: The Eighth Philosophical Perspectives Lecture. Philosophical Perspectives [Internet]. 2000;14:1–19. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2676119>

20.

McKenna M. Compatibilism. Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/compatibilism/>

21.

Ayer J. 'Freedom and Necessity'. In: Free will. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1982.

22.

Aune B, Lehrer K. 'Cans and Ifs: an Exchange'. In: Free will. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1982.

23.

Smart JJC. 'Free Will, Praise and Blame'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

24.

Dennett DC. Elbow room: the varieties of free will worth wanting. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1984.

25.

Berofsky B. 'Ifs, Cans, and Free Will: The Issues'. In: The Oxford handbook of free will

[Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195399691.001.0001>

26.

Vihvelin K. Arguments for Incompatibilism. Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy, [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/incompatibilism-arguments/>

27.

Van Inwagen P. An essay on free will. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1983.

28.

John Martin Fischer. Van Inwagen on Free Will. The Philosophical Quarterly (1950-) [Internet]. 1986;36(143):252–60. Available from: https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2219772?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

29.

Lewis D. 'Are We Free to Break the Laws?' In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

30.

Kapitan T. 'A Master Argument for Incompatibilism?' In: The Oxford handbook of free will [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195399691.001.0001>

31.

Huemer M. Van Inwagen's consequence argument. The Philosophical review [Internet]. 2000 Oct;109(4):525–44. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2693623>

32.

Helen Beebee. Reply to Huemer on the Consequence Argument. *The Philosophical Review* [Internet]. 2002;111(2):235–41. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3182620?pq-origsite=summon>

33.

Nahmias E. 'Is incompatibilism intuitive?',. In: *Experimental philosophy* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/reader.action?docID=415292&ppg=94>

34.

Nichols S, Knobe J. 'Moral responsibility and Determinism: The Cognitive Science of Folk Intuitions'. In: *Experimental philosophy* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/reader.action?docID=415292&ppg=118>

35.

Inwagen P van. 'An Argument for Incompatibilism'. In: *Free will*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

36.

Clarke, Randolph. Incompatibilist (Nondeterministic) Theories of Free Will. *Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy* [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/incompatibilism-theories/>

37.

Wiggins D. 'Towards a Reasonable Libertarianism'. In: *Free will*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

38.

Ekstrom LW. Free will: a philosophical study. Vol. Focus series. Boulder, Colo: Cumnor Hill; 2000.

39.

L. Ekstrom. Free Will, Chance, and Mystery. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition* [Internet]. 2003;113(2):153–80. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4321354?pq-origsite=summon>

40.

Finch A. The Mind argument and libertarianism. *Mind* [Internet]. 1998 Jul;107(427):515–28. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2660112>

41.

Van Inwagen P. An essay on free will. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1983.

42.

Honderich T. 'Determinism as true, both compatibilism and incompatibilism as false, and the real problem'. In: *The Oxford handbook of free will* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195399691.001.0001>

43.

Nagel T. 'Moral luck'. In: *Free will*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1982.

44.

Clarke R. Incompatibilist (Nondeterministic) Theories of Free Will. *Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy* [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/incompatibilism-theories/>

45.

Chisholm RM. 'Human Freedom and the Self'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

46.

Clarke R. 'Toward a Credible Agent-Causal Account of Free Will'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

47.

O'Connor T. Persons & causes: the metaphysics of free will [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/019515374X.001.0001>

48.

Kane R. 'Responsibility, Luck, and Chance: Reflections on Free Will and Indeterminism'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

49.

Strawson G. Freedom and belief [Internet]. Rev. ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199247493.001.0001>

50.

Vargas M. 'Libertarianism and Skepticism about Free Will: Some Arguments against Both'. Philosophical Topics [Internet]. 2004;32(1):403–26. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/43154445>

51.

Smilansky S. Free will and illusion. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 2000.

52.

Pereboom D. Living without free will [Internet]. Vol. Cambridge studies in philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=201440>

53.

Nagel T. 'Freedom'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

54.

Duff RA. 'What Kind of Responsibility Must Criminal Law Presuppose?' In: Free will and modern science [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirection/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.5871/bacad/9780197264898.001.0001>

55.

Strawson G. 'The Impossibility of Moral Responsibility'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

56.

Frankfurt H. 'Alternate Possibilities and Moral Responsibility'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

57.

Widerker D. 'Libertarianism and Frankfurt's Attack on the Principle of Alternative Possibilities'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

58.

Fisher JM. 'Frankfurt-Style Compatibilism'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

59.

Alfred R. Mele and David Robb. Rescuing Frankfurt-Style Cases. *The Philosophical Review* [Internet]. 1998;107(1):97–112. Available from:
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2998316?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

60.

David Widerker. Frankfurt's Attack on the Principle of Alternative Possibilities: A Further Look. *Philosophical Perspectives* [Internet]. 2000;14:181–201. Available from:
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2676128?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

61.

Widerker D, McKenna M. Moral responsibility and alternative possibilities: essays on the importance of alternative possibilities. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2006.

62.

Peter van Inwagen. Ability and Responsibility. *The Philosophical Review* [Internet]. 1978;87(2):201–24. Available from:
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2184752?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63.

Derk Pereboom. Alternative Possibilities and Causal Histories. *Philosophical Perspectives* [Internet]. 2000;14:119–37. Available from:
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2676125?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

64.

Carl Ginet. In Defense of the Principle of Alternative Possibilities: Why I Don't Find Frankfurt's Argument Convincing. *Philosophical Perspectives* [Internet]. 1996;10:403–17. Available from:
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/2216254?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

65.

Fischer JM, Ravizza M. Responsibility and control: a theory of moral responsibility [Internet]. Vol. Cambridge studies in philosophy and law. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511814594>

66.

Fischer JM. My way: essays on moral responsibility [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=272358>

67.

Frankfurt H. 'Freedom of the Will and the Concept of a Person'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

68.

Alfred R. Mele. A Critique of Pereboom's 'Four-Case Argument' for Incompatibilism. Analysis [Internet]. 2005;65(1):75-80. Available from: https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/3329340?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

69.

Pereboom D. Living without free will [Internet]. Vol. Cambridge studies in philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=201440>

70.

Watson G. 'Free Agency'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

71.

Wolf S. 'Sanity and the Metaphysics of Responsibility'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

72.

Beebee H. Smilansky's alleged refutation of compatibilism. *Analysis* (Oxford) [Internet]. 2008;68(3):258–60. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/25597892>

73.

Strawson P. 'Freedom and Resentment'. In: *Free will*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

74.

Eshleman A. Moral Responsibility. *Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy*, [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/moral-responsibility/>

75.

Wallace RJ. *Responsibility and the moral sentiments*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1994.

76.

Watson G. *Agency and answerability: selected essays* [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 2004. Available from: <http://idpproxy.reading.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199272273.001.0001>

77.

Bilgrami A. *Self-knowledge and resentment*. First Harvard University Press paperback edition. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2012.

78.

Austin JL. 'A plea for Excuses'. In: J. O. Urmson, G. J. Warnock., editors. *Philosophical papers* [Internet]. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1979. Available from:

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/019283021X.001.0001>

79.

Bilgrami A. Self-knowledge and resentment. First Harvard University Press paperback edition. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2012.

80.

Boxer KE. Rethinking responsibility [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

Available from:

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199695324.001.0001>

81.

Raz J. The morality of freedom [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1986. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0198248075.001.0001>

82.

Strawson P. 'Freedom and Resentment'. In: Free will. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

83.

Williams BAO. Shame and necessity. Vol. Sather classical lectures. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1993.

84.

Hart HLA, Gardner J. Punishment and responsibility: essays in the philosophy of law [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199534777.001.0001>

85.

Nagel, Thomas. The possibility of altruism. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1970.

86.

Wallace RJ. Normativity and the will: selected papers on moral psychology and practical reason [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 2006. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=422953>

87.

Owens D. Shaping the normative landscape [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199691500.001.0001>

88.

Scanlon T. What we owe to each other [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/reading/detail.action?docID=6233995>

89.

Velleman JD. Epistemic Freedom. In: The possibility of practical reason. Second. Ann Arbor, Michigan.: Michigan Publishing; 2015. p. 46–72.

90.

Darwall SL. The second-person standpoint: morality, respect, and accountability. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2006.

91.

Feinberg J. 'Action and Responsibility'. In: The Philosophy of action. London: Oxford University Press; 1968. p. 95–119.

92.

Ormerod D, Laird K, Gibson M, Ormerod D, Smith JC. Smith, Hogan, and Ormerod's criminal

law [Internet]. Seventeenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2024. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198890942.001.0001>

93.

Douglas Husak. On the Supposed Priority of Justification to Excuse. *Law and Philosophy* [Internet]. 2005;24(6):557–94. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/30040364?pq-origsite=summon>

94.

Corrado ML. Justification and excuse in the criminal law: a collection of essays. Vol. *Garland studies in applied ethics*. New York: Garland Publishing; 1994.

95.

Gardner J. The Gist of Excuses. In: *Offences and defences: selected essays in the philosophy of criminal law* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. p. 121–40. Available from: <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/reading.ac.uk?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199239351.001.0001>

96.

Horder J. Criminal Law: Between Determinism, Liberalism, and Criminal Justice. *Current Legal Problems*. 1996 Jan 1;49(1):159–86.

97.

Tadros V. Criminal responsibility [Internet]. Vol. *Oxford monographs on criminal law and justice*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225828.001.0001>

98.

Gardner J. *Offences and defences: selected essays in the philosophy of criminal law* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199239351.001.0001>

99.

Horder J. Excusing crime [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225781.001.0001>